

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexascins, Virginia 22313-1450 www.nepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/591,113	03/11/2008	Anthony J. Khouri	061300-1011	7839
26371 FOLEY & LA	7590 05/23/2011 RDNFR LLP		EXAM	UNER
777 EAST WISCONSIN AVENUE MILWAUKEE, WI 53202-5306			PRAKASH, GAUTAM	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1775	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/23/2011	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/591,113	KHOURI ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
GAUTAM PRAKASH	1775	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS,

- WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.
- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed

earried patent term adjustment.	000 07	Of It 1.7 on	υ,

-	after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period or reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire S Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to larly reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication armed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	atus					
1)	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 August 2006.					
2a)	2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final	l.				
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for form closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 19	•				
Disposition of Claims						
4)	4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-58 is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from considera	tion.				
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)	8) Claim(s) 1-58 are subject to restriction and/or election requireme	nt.				
Applic	oplication Papers					
9)	9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in	abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the					
Priori	iority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 l	J.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
	a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received	ved.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
	* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified cop	pies not received.				
_	achment(s)					
	Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) F	nterview Summary (PTO-413) aper No(s)/Mail Date				
3) 🔲 lı	Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5)	Notice of Informal Patent Application				

Application/Control Number: 10/591,113 Page 2

Art Unit: 1775

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

- Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. §§ 121 and 372.
- 2. This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1. In accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.499, Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.
 - Group I, claims 1 to 32, 34, and 58, drawn to a rotary concrete drum with an interior surface partially provided with a polymer impregnated with a slip agent;
 - Group II, claim 33, drawn to a fin comprising an exterior surface partially provided with a polymer impregnated with a slip agent;
 - Group III, claims 35 to 45, drawn to a method for forming a concrete mixing drum with an interior surface partially provided with a polymer impregnated with a slip agent;
 - Group IV, claims 46 to 49, drawn to a method of finishing an exterior surface of a concrete mixing drum;
 - Group V, claim 50, drawn to a concrete mixing truck; and
 - Group VI, claims 51 to 57, drawn to a concrete mixing drum.
- 3. The groups of inventions listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

Application/Control Number: 10/591,113 Page 3

Art Unit: 1775

4. The inventions listed as Groups I to III lack unity of invention a priori from the inventions listed in Group IV, Group V, and Group VI because there is no common feature that links Groups I to III with Groups IV to VI, nor is there any common feature that links Group IV, Group V, and Group VI together. Furthermore, the inventions listed as Groups I to III lack unity of invention from each other a posteriori because even though the inventions of these groups require the technical feature of a surface partially provided with a polymer impregnated with a slip agent, this technical feature is not a special technical feature as it does not make a contribution over the prior art in view of Rodgers (WO 01/26871), Morita et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 5,432,211), and Yamasoe (U.S. Pat. No. 5,399,192) – all references cited in the International Search Report (ISR) mailed 29 December 2004, in the Written Opinion of the International Searching Authority (WOISA) issued 04 September 2006, and in the International Preliminary Report on Patentability (IPRP) issued 05 September 2006.

- 5. Applicant is advised that the reply to this Requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of an invention or species to be examined even though the Requirement may be traversed (37 C.F.R. § 1.143); and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.
- 6. The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the Restriction Requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the Requirement will result

Art Unit: 1775

in the loss of right to petition under 37 C.F.R. § 1.144. If claims are added after the election,

Applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected invention or species.

- 7. Should Applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions have unity of invention (37 C.F.R. § 1.475(a)), Applicant must provide reasons in support thereof. Applicant may submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. Where such evidence or admission is provided by Applicant, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) of the other invention.
- 8. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 C.F.R. § 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(i).
- A telephone call was made to Mr. John Lazarus on 03 May 2011 to request an oral
 election to the above Restriction Requirement, but did not result in an election being made. Mr.
 Lazarus requested that a written Restriction Requirement be issued.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to GAUTAM PRAKASH whose telephone number is 571-270-3030. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday, Tuesday, Thursday, and Friday from 8:30 am to 7:00 pm, Eastern Time.

Art Unit: 1775

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Marcheschi can be reached on 571-272-1374. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, go to http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, please contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, please call 800-786-9199 (in USA or CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/G.P./ Examiner, Art Unit 1775

/Nathan A Bowers/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1775